



share and move to face nasty bugs

WP8 EVALUATION II QUALITY REPORT

ASSET Project • Grant Agreement N°612236

ASSET

Action plan on SiS related issues in Epidemics And Total Pandemics

7th RTD framework programme

Theme: [SiS.2013.1.2-1 Sis.2013.1.2-1]

Responsible partner: ZADIG

Contributing partners: ALL

Nature: **Report**

Dissemination: **PU**

Contractual delivery date: **2016-12-30 (m36)**

Submission Date: **2017-01-30 (m37)**

This project has received funding from the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme for research, technological development and demonstration under grant agreement no 612236



co-funded by the EU. GA: 612236

www.asset-scienceinsociety.eu



DOCUMENT MANAGEMENT

PROJECT FULL TITLE	Action plan on SiS related issues in Epidemics And Total Pandemics
PROJECT ACRONYM	ASSET
	Coordination and Support Action: project funded under Theme SiS.2013.1.2 "Mobilisation and Mutual Learning (MML) Action Plans"
GRANT AGREEMENT	612236
STARTING DATE	01/01/2014
DURATION	48 months

Interim Quality Report

Task: **T8.2 Project Monitoring and ongoing evaluation**

Leader: ZADIG – Other contributors: All

History of changes:

Vn	Status	Date	Organisation / Person responsible	Reason for Change
V1	Draft	28/01/2017	Donato Greco/Zadig	Revision/Integration
Vf	Final	30/01/2017		



Table of Contents

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	4
1. INTRODUCTION.....	5
1.1 WP8 OBJECTIVE.....	5
1.2 T8.2 PROJECT MONITORING AND ONGOING	5
2. MAIN ACTIONS.....	6
3. METHODS.....	7
3.1 HARD INDICATORS	7
3.2 QUALITY INDICATORS MONITORING	7
4. RESULTS.....	7
4.1 HARD INDICATORS.....	7
4.1.1 TIME.....	7
4.1.2 PARTICIPATION - COP ACCESSES.....	7
4.1.3 SOCIAL NETWORKS.....	9
4.1.4 ASSET WEBSITE.....	10
4.1.5 DATAVIZ.....	10
4.2 CITIZEN CONSULTATION.....	11
4.3 QUALITY MONITORING INDICATORS.....	12
5. ASSET LOGFRAME INDICATORS.....	14
6. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....	14



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The project is now in its last year, the activities are carried out following the DOW indications, and the consortium is strengthening more and more the internal cohesion thanks to a lively debate on the CoP and to the exchange of ideas among members around all the project products.

The Internal evaluation was developed gathering on the COP and analyzing the Form3 related to the active tasks of the considered period month 19-36 may 2015 december 2016.

All the deliverables but 2 (D1.7, D4.3,) were received in due time. The delayed deliverable are in the final editing stage as demonstrated by the update F3 forms received.

The strategic plan , the MMLAP tool box were completed as well as the Action Plan and the Road Map.

The citizen consultation was performed in 8 countries involving 540 participants.

The communication and dissemination of the project results and activities have been increased since the website have become fully operative and the efforts on social media have been set up in view of the dedicated tasks, starting in the next months.

Two Interim quality reports were produced between the first and the present report.



1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 WP8 Objective

WP8 aims to carry out an in-depth independent evaluation of the methodology and implementation progress of ASSET and its potential impact on citizens and civil society, throughout the duration of the project, in relation to its objectives and expected impacts. WP8 objectives are to provide 1) continuous monitoring and ongoing evaluation of the project; and 2) post-evaluation of project WPs and critical activities.

1.2 T8.2 Project Monitoring and ongoing evaluation

Leader: Zadig

Start: m4 End: m48

Contributors: LYON, PROLEPSIS, EIWH, DBT, FFI, IPRI, ISS, NCIPD, TIEMS, DMI, UMFCF, HU, ABSISKEY

T8.2 will ensure the periodic oversight of the project's implementation and will assess the development results. It aims to establish the extent to which work schedules, contractual deliverables, other required actions and targeted outputs are progressing according to plan, as well as ensuring that they are of high quality and are achieving the expected impacts so that timely action can be taken to correct deficiencies if detected. As stated in the DOW, between two periodical quality reports there should be an interim report: this is the Interim between the D8.1 - Project Quality Report 1 and the D8.2 - Project Quality Report 2.



2. MAIN ACTIONS

The 2016 has seen a very intensive activity in the ASSET project : some main deliverables were completed and the dissemination of ASSET products progressed substantially.

The continuous ASSET governance activities were intense as evidenced in the Project infrastructure report, the Scientific coordination report, the quality reports.

The MMLAP got life through the Strategic Plan, the Road map to Open and Responsible Research and Innovation in Pandemics , the Action Plan Handbook, the ASSET Tool Box.

Those products were the strategic base for the second High Level Policy Forum and for the Citizen consultation that was successfully performed in 8 countries.

The Best Practice Platform and Stakeholder Portal Report is active and collecting several documents pertinent the ASSET mission.

Many local initiatives started and are ongoing in all the ASSET partner countries.

Communication and dissemination is running : internally the ASSET Community of Practice (CoP) finally became the single communication platform for all ASSET members, the ASSET Web site is experiencing a continuous increasing trend of visits so as the ASSET Facebook and Twitter pages due to the intense action of the ASSET media office.

The ASSET editorial products are progressing as well : the Pandemic Preparedness and Response Bulletin has seen the n.4 issue and the Research and Innovation Newsletter also reached n.4 issues.

Music and science were blended in the Geneva Music & Science Festival that also was disseminated through social networks.

The second summer school took place in Rome with 12 participants and the program for the 2017 edition has been completed.

The gender platform started within the ASSET web site and a liaison with Comenius/Erasmus programme was activated as well as the General Practitioner Award.

Two Interim quality reports were produced and the first ex post evaluation received and intensively discussed by the ASSET teams to fulfill the recommendation offered.

The project Management is going very gently : without persecuting the ASSET researcher with bureaucratic insistence , the management makes itself quite present within the ASSET family and carefully monitors the project performance.



3. METHODS

3.1 Hard indicators

Delivery release time; participation of the researchers to the ASSET Community of Practice (COP); participation on the Facebook and Twitter ASSET pages; ASSET website statistics.

The ASSET Logframe indicators calculations and standards are included in this report.

3.2 Quality MONITORING: F3 Forms

Partners were asked to provide Form 3 for the period between month 19 and month 36 : a wider period than the usual 3 months to offer a more complete view of the activities performed and the results achieved at the edge of the last year. A total of 19 F3 forms were requested for 19 tasks active in the first trimester of 2016. Two reminders were also published on the CoP.

4. RESULTS

4.1 Hard indicators

4.1.1 Time

At December 2016 all the deliverables but 2 (D1.7, D4.3) were received in due time. The delayed deliverable are in the final editing stage as demonstrated by the update F3 forms received.

4.1.2 Participation - COP Accesses

Starting from January, 2015, the ASSET working groups are connected with a virtual Community of Practice (CoP); this is the space where most project issues are discussed in an open forum. The CoP also hosts project instruments, products, and a calendar of events. Access to the CoP is monitored with a dedicated tool. From July 4 to december 30 2016 , there have been 6506 accesses to the CoP, more than a thousand per month, a constant increasing access trend.

The following graph (fig. 1) provides the number of accesses over the second semester 2016; fig 2 shows the trend of 529 to the General forum: close to one hundred montly accesses with marked peaks linked to the release of deliverables draft.



Fig. 1: Total asset CoP access





Fig. 2: Total CoP access TO GENERAL FORUM






4.1.3 Social networks


The following fig.3 shows the fan participation to the Facebook ASSET page:

Facebook



The ASSET Facebook page reached more than 1500 montly fans.

Fig. 4 : N. of Twitter accesses for the period: 1 Jan 2015 – 30 Nov. 2016



The number of Twitter accesses to the ASSET page is constant.



4.1.4 ASSET website

The ASSET website become operational on January 2015,

Website general report




Fig 5 : Trend of montly visits to the ASSET Web.

Fig 5 offer the growing trend of Visitst to the ASSET Web site : over nnnnn total visits for over one hundred thousand pages visited: impressive numbers consolidating the ASSET dissemination success. It is to be noted that when visitors have been geolocalized , we have seen that 42% of the visits come from USA and Canada, but 7% are from China and Italy and Spain accounts for 22% of the visits.

4.1.5 Dataviz

A popular page of the ASSET Web is the Data VIZ page where two studies are presented in clear simple Graphical format and the explicatory text:

Ethical issues in national pandemic influenza plans

Experts from the ASSET project conducted a study on this issue, performing a semantic analysis of national pandemic plans developed by ten European Union/European Economic Area (EU/EEA) countries and by Switzerland, member of European Free Trade Association (EFTA), including EU and WHO documents. All documents were taken from the ECDC official website (ecdc.europa.eu), whenever a translation in English was available.

Compulsory vaccination and rates of coverage immunisation in Europe

ASSET performed an analysis on the issue, comparing coverage rates of immunisation against polio (Pol3), measles (MCV1) and pertussis containing vaccines (DTP3)* in European Union/European Economic Area (EU/EEA) countries, where, according to different policies, these vaccinations are



either mandatory or recommended.

4.2 Citizen Consultation

One day, eight countries, fifty participants for each of them, open discussions and a series of questions. These are the ingredients of the citizen consultations organized by ASSET on September 24th, to voice people's opinion on epidemic preparedness and response.

The event involved women and men from each country, representative of the whole national population, according to a method developed and tested by the Danish Board of Technology Foundation (DBT). They did gathered at the same time to discuss and deliberate with the same procedure about a series of very important themes related to epidemics, from personal freedom and public health safety to communication between citizens and public health authorities, from transparency in public health to access to knowledge.

In case of infectious outbreaks, a conflict between public health safety and personal freedom can be inevitable. Moreover, public trust and effective response to infectious threats may be impaired by top-down and reticent communication from public health authorities. It is thus important to understand citizens' attitudes toward each issue of concern, what kind of information they would like to receive, through which channels, and also if they feel they should provide information as well.

ASSET consultation explored the source of information used by citizens and their willingness to receive unproved pieces of information. Also, it encouraged participants to discuss about conflict of interest between industry and authorities, as well as the occasional need of silence for security purposes.

At the end of the event, participants were asked to answer a series of questions. Their responses were then collected into a database, analysed and showed in the ASSET web site. They will be finally discussed with a delegation of the European Parliament in spring 2017. By doing this, citizens participating will be able to provide policy makers with what they think are the most important recommendation.

Some results hints :

430 adult individuals participated in 8 countries: in case of flu pandemic 55% requested mandatory flu vaccination, 84% for health workers; 82% agreed on public services closure; 69% to cancel international events; 65% prioritized medical resources to health workers; 60% were unsatisfied of infos during the Zika threat ; state media and television were preferred as info sources; what to do was the most requested info; 80% required more resources to collect infos from citizens; 50% requested more transparencies on flu studies; 91% indicated the ASSET model as a good strategy for communication; transparency with Pharma was requested by 88%; during Ebola more involvement.

Only 56% of participants indicated their own general practitioner as the first source to consult when ill, but 23% indicated Internet as the first consultation source .

Related to the recent Zika epidemic 78% trusted their General practitioner and only 7,5% distrusted their G.P. Trust in the European health Authorities reached 72%, while National Health Authorities



gained a 73%. The media gained a much lower trust : TV 42% , Radio 41%, newspaper 33%; Internet was trusted by 38% of participants, with the same number for friends and relatives : 38%.

71,5% of participants indicated the need for a clear one way communication from public health authorities.

Most participants were satisfied on the organization of the ASSET consultation (96%), but only 67% believed that ASSET results will be used for political decision making.

Most of participants (94,8%) indicated that the global dialogue model promoted by ASSET should be again arranged in the future.

4.3 F3: Quality Monitoring Indicators

19 Form 3 related to 19 active tasks were requested for the period month 19 to 36 may 2015 december 2016 : **16 forms were received** up to 15 january 2017.

Table 1 offers the main results reported in the F3 Forms.

The overall picture is of an alive ongoing project where most of the planned tasks are going with the appropriate capacities and progressing as best expected.

Constrains are also present as well as indication to overcome

A real improvement when comparing similar tables in the first quality report and in the following interim reports.



Tab 1: ASSET II REPORT : F3 Forms related to months 19,36

N	Code	Title	WP	Leader Acronym	main Result
1	T1.4	Scientific Coordination	1	ISS	In spite of some difficulties occurring in some specific tasks' implementation not depending on partners' actions but for external causes, a good flow of the project can be reported due to a constant and effective overlooking and invitation to contribute and collaborate. In December 2016, the scientific coordinator changed but this issue did not impact on the task evolution (scientific coordination).
2	T3.4	ASSET Tool Box	3	TIEMS	The task was completed with posting the Deliverable D3.4 Tool Box on the ASSET website in August 2016. The Tool Box consists of eight Tools. These are: 1. Checklist - Awareness of Healthcare Workers for Influenza Vaccination 2. Glossary - Epidemics, Including Zika and other Emerging Virus Infections 3. Infectious Outbreaks Continuing Medical Education Online Interactive Course 4. Data Visualization 5. Citizen Participatory Meetings 6. Reporting Health Issues by Journalists 7. Response to Radiological, Biological, and Chemical Threats by Healthcare Professionals 8. Checklists for Researchers
3	T4.3	Citizens Meeting National Materials	4	DBT	430 adult individuals participated in 8 countries: in case of flu pandemic 55% requested mandatory flu vaccination, 84% for health workers; 82% agreed on public services closure; 69% to cancel international events; 65% prioritized medical resources to health workers; 60% were unsatisfied of infos during the Zika threat; state media and television were preferred as info sources; what to do was the most requested info; 80% required more resources to collect infos from citizens; 50% requested more transparencies on flu studies; 91% indicated the ASSET model as a good strategy for communication; transparency with Pharma was requested by 88%; during Ebola more involvement.
4	T5.1	Digital strategy	5	ZADIG	The task activities are efficiently running as evidenced by the participation statistics both in the CoP as well as in the ASSET web
5	T5.2	Best Practices	5	IPRI	
6	T5.3	Local Initiatives	5	ISS	all Partners have identified the type of local initiative to be carried out and filled in the related template provided by the task leader. Then, since 2017 the task (local initiatives) implementation phase starts.
7	T6.1	High Level Policy Forum	6	TIEMS	Thirteen members are now recruited to ASSET HLPF and two ASSET HLPF meetings have been arranged, 12th March 2015 in Brussels, and 15th January in Copenhagen. At the second ASSET meeting we explored collaborations with activities associated with Decision 1082/2013 EU, the EU Health and Safety Committee (EU HSC) and with Académie Académique Internationale (ADI). ADI will not be further involved because their focus has changed, while we will continue our outward approach towards HSC.
8	T6.5	Pandemic Preparedness and Response Bulletin	6	ISS	Since its second issue, then each ASSET Pandemic Preparedness and Response Bulletin, Share and move, is mainly focused on one of the six SIS topics that were highlighted within the project "Study and Analysis" phase: governance of pandemics and epidemics; unsolved scientific questions; crisis participatory governance; ethical, legal and societal implications; gender pattern – vulnerability; intentionally caused outbreaks. The second Bulletin focused on governance of pandemics and epidemics, the third issue concentrated on unsolved scientific questions. Proposing the same structure than in the others, the fourth number (published during the summer 2016) deals with intentionally caused outbreaks
9	T7.3	Web Portal		ZADIG	• In 2016 ASSET website had a constant relevant number of visits: in November 2016 the peak registered in that single month 32.033 pages visited by 5792 visitors of which 4134 unique visitors. The USA provided the highest number of visitors followed by Italy and the rest of Europe • The ASSET Facebook site peaked in November 2016 to 1514 fans and in the full 2016 3531 new profiles were registered. • The Twitter ASSET site peaked in November 2016 to 147 new engagements producing 14300 impressions. • The website's mailing list has been expanded and now includes 4433 international addresses.
10	T7.6	Media Office		ZADIG	In the 30-36m period, Media Office has widened its network of contacts and enriched its mailing list in order to better disseminate ASSET project contents and values. Citizen Consultation. The event was disseminated also by 28 videos in different languages on ASSET YouTube channel and was picked up by 37 articles and TV/radio broadcast, mainly in Bulgaria and Romania, in addition to the main Italian newspaper website. Verbier Festival Presentations were recorded in 12 videos showing the interaction between music and science, published on the ASSET YouTube channel and the ASSET website
11	T7.7	Science Communication		PROLEP SIS	
12	T7.6	Summer School		ISS	the second edition school participants were selected based on evaluation of CVs and motivation letters, and followed a strategically planned series of lectures, group work exercises and case studies. The daily programme ran from 9 am to 5 pm (three days in 2016) and the lessons were given by lectures from prominent international experts on several topics, ranging from crisis management to issues related to social and health inequalities, and participate to group work exercises and case studies. Lecturers discussed the ethical, legal and societal implications of pandemics, the unsolved scientific questions about them, the main problems about outbreaks governance and gender-related issues
13	T7.7	GP Award	7	PROLEP SIS	
14	T7.8	Liaison with the Comenius Programme	7	EIVWH	We have identified six schools in Ireland that were awarded the Erasmus Plus grant. We contacted all schools via telephone at the start of the school year, and got a positive response, with all schools expressing interest and enthusiasm for the project, and were happy to work with us.
15	T7.9	Gender Issue Platform	7	EIVWH	The Gender Platform is now live on the ASSET webpage, with articles being posted by Zadig and contributions being made by EIVWH. There is not yet a social media presence, although Facebook has been chosen as the most suitable social media type. The FB page will link into the website, so that anything posted there will show on the FB page and discussion will be encouraged. The EIVWH will monitor the page once it is up and running.
16	T7.10	Research and Innovation Newsletter	7	BYOPOL E	The third Newsletter consisted in linking the ASSET activities and results to present to the researchers of academia and industry how ASSET answers the RRI issues. So, this one concentrated on industry in the process of preparedness and response to epidemics and pandemics. Besides, we insisted on the Strategic plan which delivers RRI concrete tools and we also announced the presentation of the Toolbox in the newsletter 4 as well as the citizens' consultations.
17	T7.15	Geneva Music science festival	8	ZADIG	Organized on July 30th 2016, each scientific talks were followed by a piano classical sequence played by an international virtuoso pianist. The topics presented by the public health experts during the concert-conference will touch more particularly on the aspects of individual and collective health (Dr Ariel Beresniak), the music's impact on the brain (Dr Pierre Lemarquis), the cognitive effects of the early music education (Hélène Vareille), the human epidemics of animal origin (Dr Donato Greco), the epidemics response strategies (Dr Sylvie Briand) as well as various defence mechanisms that the communities can employ against future pandemics (Dr Alberto Perra).
18	T8.2	Ex Post Evaluation	8	ABSISKEY	End of February 2016 (M26), several interviews have been launched with the IEE in order to produce the ex-post evaluation n°2. AK received from the External evaluators the ER2 in March 2016 based on the following deliverables evaluation: D1.3 Project Infrastructure Report 1, D1.6: Scientific Coordination Report 1, D2.7 Transdisciplinary Workshop report, D3.1 Strategic Plan, D3.2 Roadmap to Open and Responsible Research and Innovation in Pandemics, D6.4 Pandemic Preparedness and Response Bulletin Report 1, D7.3 Web Portal Report 1, D7.5 Media Report 1, and D7.9 Summer School Report 1. Several exchanges and conference call have been launched during this period with the Scientific Coordinator on the evaluation. After request for review from Absiskey and Alberto Perra, we received a new version in June 2016. Within this revised version Alberto Perra and AK have proposed to our Project Officer to add an extra section with our comments which he accepted on the 23rd of August. The ER2 will be merged with the ER3 planned for February 2017 and will be submitted to the EC under this format at this time if necessary.
19	T10.2	Management Cruise Mode	10	ABSISKEY	Controlling the time and expenses reported by the partners on a monthly basis and comparing with the provisional figures so as to anticipate any deviations; supports and assistance have been brought to the partners several times during the period to allow them to do a good reporting. • Collecting and aggregating reporting information (efforts and costs) for the intermediate internal resources checking and periodic reporting towards the Commission; • Providing the Consortium members with assistance upon managerial issues. Conference calls have been organized with the Scientific Coordinator for adopting the best management strategy and for solving potential internal conflicts. Assistance has been provided to the partner LYB for implementing the citizen consultation in terms of budget distribution; regular checks have been made with the scientific coordinator and the task leaders for implementing their tasks in the best way and adopting the best strategy that was the case for the task 5.3 and the task 7.11. • Collecting and submitting to the Commission the project deliverables according to QA/QC procedures; • Implementing the provisions of the Consortium Agreement; Keeping the Consortium Agreement up-to-date; • Organizing and coordinating (with ISS) the Consortium Meetings in September 2015 (M21) and in June 2016 in Italy at M30. A control the time and expenses declared by the partners on a monthly basis and a comparison with the provisional figures for the second reporting period have been realized at M30 during the consortium meeting Controlling the time and expenses reported by the partners on a monthly basis and comparing with the provisional figures so as to anticipate any deviations; supports and assistance have been brought to the partners several times during the period to allow them to do a good reporting. • Collecting and aggregating reporting information (efforts and costs) for the intermediate internal resources checking and



5. ASSET LOGFRAME INDICATORS

In the following tables the ASSET Logframe indicators for the ACTIONS are presented : over any expectation most of the indicators due by the end of 2016 fullfill and largely overcome the expected value.

6. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The project, which is in the third year , is working adequately to achieve its objectives.

In this period of activity it gained good visibility, as witnessed by the number of accesses to the website and the distribution of visitors across Europe and the US. This allows the project to be considered as a stakeholder to be involved in preparedness path to possible infectious emergencies, as provided for, inter alia, by EU decision 1082/2013 on health preparedness. It has to be underlined the very great improvement of the ASSET communication efforts: both in the ASSET internal services, as the CoP, and in the external activities as the WEB, the media office and the social media.

The main ASSET products expected were produced : The Action plan handbook, the toolbox , the MMLAP strategic plan. European citizens consulted provided relevant hints crucial for a successful strategy to afford epidemics .

The dissemination activity is intensively running , both on the social side with Web,facebook, Twitter and media activities, as well on the scientific ground with the Preparedness bulletin and the Research and innovation newsletter, but also with the best practices and gender platforms.

This 2017 is the crucial year of ASSET live : the task is to make all those interesting ASSET products embedded in real National and international strategies and policies . Not only for decision makers stakeholders , but also to media people, the health workers and the general public.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

- To strengthen the ability to integrate the various activities going on by different Wp task: this to improve the overall efficiency and to allow to heal the critical breaking opened by the delay in the project.
- To come to finalize full concise strategic materials that can be made available, ready to be used to the target stakeholders.
- To focus on the next HLPF and the meeting with EU parliament expected in april 2017 : a concise "ASSET CARTA" should be produced to clearly release the main ASSET products and facilitate the implementations of those indications in the EU Member States

Asset LOGFRAME: Calculation and standards for ACTIVITIES indicators

WP	cod	# Indicator Definition	Indicator Computation	Verification Source	2014	2015	2016	2017
WP1	D1	Percentage increase of glossary items included in the final list compared to the initial one	$\frac{\text{N of items included in the final list} - \text{N of items included in the initial list}}{\text{N of items included in the initial list}} * 100 = \geq 50\%$	-Glossary versions (initial and final-m11) - D1.2	33%	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
WP7	D2	Annually relative percentage increase of accesses to the ASSET website	$\frac{\text{accesses (average) in mm 7-12 to the ASSET site} - \text{accesses (average) in mm 1-6 to the ASSET site}}{\text{Accesses (average) in mm 1-6 to the ASSET site}} * 100 = \geq 15\%$	ASSET Website statistics	n.a.	+49%	+29,4%	XX
WP5	D3	BPP/social network significant exchanges of posts and resources	BPP/social network significant exchanges of posts and resources ≥ 100 p/year	Web portal reports and statistics	n.a.	*	9	XX
WP4	D4	ASSET participating countries having carried out the standardized approach to the public consultation	$\frac{\text{N of ASSET participating countries' having carried out the standardized approach to the public consultation}}{\text{N of ASSET participating countries having carried out the public consultation}} * 100 = \geq 80\%$	D4.3	n.a.	n.a.	100%	XX
WP4	D5	The WS has been held	N of WS effectively released = 8	D4.3	n.a.	n.a.	XX	XX
WP5	D6	Short monitoring reports on social contents are regularly available	$\frac{\text{N of monitoring reports produced}}{\text{N of monitoring reports expected}} * 100 = \geq 80\%$	-36-48 months' SC report -D5.1	n.a.	n.a.	XX	XX
WP5	D7	Annually relative percentage increase of accesses to the SH portal	$\frac{\text{N of accesses in mm 7-12 to the SH portal} - \text{N of accesses in mm 1-6 to the SH portal}}{\text{N of accesses in mm 1-6 to the SH portal}} * 100 = \geq 15\%$	D7.3	n.a.	n.a.	XX	XX
WP5	D8	Best practice collection and analysis from all ASSET participating countries	$\frac{\text{N of ASSET participating countries developing best practice collection and analysis}}{\text{N of ASSET participating countries}} * 100 = \geq 70\%$	D7.7	n.a.	n.a.	XX	XX
WP5	D9	Local initiatives gender sensitive/centred carried out in participating countries	$\frac{\text{N of participating countries carrying out local initiatives gender sensitive/centred}}{\text{N of ASSET participating countries}} * 100 = \geq 70\%$	D5.3	n.a.	n.a.	XX	XX

WP6	D10	Annual increase of stakeholders receiving the Pandemic Preparedness and Response Bulletin (PPRB)	$\frac{\text{N of stakeholders receiving the PPRB on } t_{1(1 \text{ yy})} - \text{N of stakeholders receiving the PPRB on } t_{0(1st \text{ Issue})}}{\text{N of stakeholders receiving the PPRB on } t_{0(1st \text{ Issue})}} * 100 = \geq 15\%$	D6.4, D6.5, D6.6	n.a.	Till now sent to 2762 contacts	XX	XX
WP7	D11	Annual increase overall of accesses to the ASSET web portal	$\frac{\text{N of accesses to the ASSET web portal in mm7-12} - \text{N of accesses to the ASSET web portal in mm1-6}}{\text{N of accesses to the ASSET web portal in mm1-6}} * 100 = \geq 15\%$	ASSET Website statistics	n.a.	+49%	+29,4%	XX
WP7	D12	Annual web portal updates	$\frac{\text{N of updates of the ASSET web portal in mm7-12} - \text{N of updates of the ASSET web portal in mm1-6}}{\text{N of updates of the ASSET web portal in mm1-6}} * 100 = \geq 15\%$	ASSET Website statistics	n.a.	16,6%	+27,8%	XX
WP7	D13	Annual increase in total of views at the ASSET posts/communications on the main social media	$\frac{\text{N of views at the ASSET posts on social media in mm7-12} - \text{N of views at the ASSET posts on social media in mm1-6}}{\text{N of views at the ASSET posts on social media in mm1-6}} * 100 = \geq 20\%$	Software statistics	n.a.	+21%	+17,4%	XX
WP7	D14	Periodical publication of the paper series	N of Periodical publication of the paper series = ≥ 5	ASSET Website statistics	n.a.	*	6	XX
WP7	D15	Annual increase in total of accesses to the Gender Platform	$\frac{\text{N of accesses to the gender platform in mm7-12} - \text{N of accesses to the gender platform in mm1-6}}{\text{N of accesses to the gender platform in mm1-6}} * 100 = \geq 25\%$	ASSET Website statistics	n.a.	*	+15,2	XX
WP8	D16	Project Quality Reports made available in due time for the ASSET CoP	$\frac{\text{N of PQ reports available in due time for asset cop}}{\text{Total N of PQ reports}} * 100 = \geq 15\%$	Project quality report D8.1, D8.2, D8.3	n.a.	*	75%	XX

